



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Millington, S and Ntounis, N (2017) Repositioning the High Street: evidence and reflection from the UK. *Journal of Place Management and Development*, 10 (4). pp. 364-377. ISSN 1753-8335

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/618922/>

Publisher: Emerald

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPMD-08-2017-0077>

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

Repositioning the high street: evidence and reflection from the UK

Steve Millington

*Institute of Place Management, Manchester Metropolitan University,
Manchester, UK, and*

Nikos Ntounis

*Institute of Place Management, Manchester Metropolitan University Business
School, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK*

Abstract

Purpose – Drawing on evidence from ten towns (across England, Wales and Northern Ireland) participating in the High Street UK 2020 (HSUK2020) project, the purpose of this paper is to reveal how local stakeholders involved in place management respond to high street decline through a strategy of repositioning.

Design/methodology/approach – This paper identifies the challenges faced by the towns considering repositioning, and highlights examples of good practice of relevance to the practitioners. First, it outlines the perspectives on repositioning from the academic research and theory, before drawing on evidence from across ten UK towns that participated in the HSUK2020 project, to reveal how repositioning involves more than just taking a snapshot profile of a place.

Findings – The research revealed major challenges faced by local stakeholders in clearly identifying and communicating their market position, in particular, the maintenance of up-to-date information on catchments was lacking at all the locations. Despite having local knowledge and some data, stakeholders still did not possess a clear (or shared) understanding of the identity or function of their towns. This evidence reflects the complexity of analysing and understanding repositioning and developing coherent strategies.

Practical implications – Knowledge exchange between stakeholders involved in place management can help inform the identification of new strategic objectives, appropriate interventions and project planning and delivery. Where resources are limited, particularly in smaller towns and settlements, the research demonstrates the significance of collecting and sharing data and analysis with other stakeholders, because this can generate positive outcomes for all.

Originality value – By offering empirical evidence based on the experience of local practitioners, this paper provides valuable insight into how town centre stakeholders collect, interpret and analyse data, revealing the challenges, opportunities and practicalities involved in developing and implementing repositioning strategies.

Keywords Stakeholders, Decision-making, High street, Place management, Repositioning

Paper type Research paper



Introduction

Place positioning refers to the identification of unique or special attributes possessed by a place (Ashworth and Voogd, 1990; Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2008; Paddison, 1999; Yanchula, 2008), which contribute to a clear understanding of a place's identity, or simply, what a place is known for (Baker, 2012; Martinez, 2016). Although places are multiple and complex entities, predominant images eventually surface where towns or cities are associated – perhaps with heritage, tourism or local industry and so on. Labelling places as a historic city, seaside resort or market town is useful in attempts to define and clearly articulate messages to both residents and external audiences. It can underpin a place's identity and belonging, in addition to establishing a comparative or differential advantage in relation to other places (Dennis *et al.*, 2002).

Although a place might possess a strong market position (e.g. in the retail hierarchy) and image, we should resist the assumption that this is necessarily the outcome of carefully devised place marketing and branding strategies. In many cases, such unique advantages possessed will have accumulated over decades, if not centuries, and will reflect long-standing expectations and deeply rooted perceptions of that place. Where this has produced strong and positive place image, civic leaders might well adopt a complacent attitude to place marketing, but the reputation of even the most attractive places can fade as user preferences shift, requiring places to refresh their appeal. The loss of key industries for instance, or trends in mobility and tourism, can profoundly undermine the reputation of a place. In contrast, processes such as globalisation may also strengthen a place's reputation (Bell, 2016), as a city or region becomes more outward-looking (Kuss, 2009).

Nevertheless, to counteract the long-term structural decline, rebranding will not be sufficient (Neill, 1995; Vanolo, 2008). To satisfy the expectations of the place users, the development of multiple place products is required before a cohesive and plausible place-branding strategy can be implemented (Ntounis and Kavaratzis, 2017). Whereas the literature on repositioning places largely discusses citywide or regional strategies, the focus of this paper is on understanding the forces of change and the value of unique responses that reposition the high streets. The plight of town centres, in particular, reflects the specific localised impacts of wider change (Parker *et al.*, 2017). Resorts have lost custom because more people holiday abroad, whereas former industrial centres have lost key employment anchors. In addition, the town centres face growing competition from out-of-town retailing (Thomas *et al.*, 2004) and more recently the disruption caused by internet shopping (Weltevreden and Atzema, 2006). Consequently, the place managers need to engage in repositioning strategies to enable them to identify potential competitive advantages (Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2008; Smith, 2004). This may involve not only strategies for building on distinct capabilities (Martinez, 2016), reconfiguring the retailer and service mix (Teller *et al.*, 2016), but also the anticipation of, and the adaptation to, more prosaic future trends (such as local demographic change). Understanding the current and future needs and behaviours of the catchment population is essential if high streets are to recover their vitality and viability. A difficulty arises in convincing the local stakeholders to accept that change is necessary. Their status in an imagined retail hierarchy might no longer be what it once was following the restructuring of the retail sector. Likewise, there may be poor understanding of the degree of competition and complementarity with adjacent centres (Teller *et al.*, 2016). Re-evaluating the role and function of the places might require a fundamental shift from retailing to consider the other functions that might thrive in the town centres (Downs and Haynes, 1984). The stresses, volatility and fluidity of the high street change remain difficult to predict. This project has made a first attempt to list all the factors that influence retail centre vitality and viability – identifying 201 such factors

(Parker *et al.*, 2017). Before this, the lack of a solid and thorough evidence base concerning high street performance and the factors affecting change have remained obscure, patchy or simply absent.

Wrigley and Dolega (2011) suggest that places that develop a strong evidence base about their current position, as well as an understanding of how future changes will affect them, are likely to be more resilient, although this raises important questions regarding the capacity and skills of the stakeholders responsible for managing the high street. In this research, therefore, we first outlined the perspectives on repositioning from the academic research and theory, before drawing on the evidence from across the ten UK towns that participated in the HSUK2020 project, to reveal how repositioning involves more than just taking a snapshot profile of a place. Rather, places need to consider repositioning as a tool through which to not only gather data and build an understanding of their identity but also manage future change.

What is repositioning? Can we apply repositioning from marketing theory to places?

Theories of marketing management define positioning as a strategy for adding value to a product or service through the identification of specific target markets, which enables an organisation to differentiate or adjust what it has to offer relative to other competing products or services. As Tadjewski and Jones (2014) pinpoint, success or failure of the marketing strategy lies in marketers' ability in allocating their scarce resources on the S-T-P process (segmentation, targeting and positioning), which positioning is part of. As such, positioning becomes an integral part of the marketing strategy, and it often represents the "sharp end" for marketers (Ries and Trout, 1981) in terms of translating the customers' needs and wants into products or services that will be perceived as superior from the competition (Dibb and Simkin, 1991). By communicating a clear and unique set of advantages, a business might anticipate that the consumers will be attracted to their offer ahead of their competitors (Doyle and Stern, 2006). A company, therefore, will aim to change the perceptions of a brand through the rebranding of an existing product to emphasize or reveal the new attributes that will appeal to a different target market, or it may introduce a new brand. Of course, market conditions are highly dynamic, implying that even well-established products and brands might lose their appeal to the consumers. Anticipating and adapting to change, therefore, and repositioning products and services to target markets are key to successful branding and rebranding. By extending this concept, it can be argued that places also need to adapt and change in response to external forces (Warnaby *et al.*, 2005), and their image needs to be constantly maintained and repositioned. As such, the S-T-P process is seen as an important aspect of successful place marketing strategies. Govers and Go (2009), for example, see place marketing as "the traditional segmentation, targeting and positioning approach to the promotion of place [. . .]" (p. 19), whereas Kavaratzis and Ashworth (2008) indicate how the place marketing strategies can be viewed as responsive mechanisms to improve a place's competitive position via discovering and creating uniqueness.

The transferability of marketing theory to place development, however, is neither simple nor straightforward, and we should avoid lapsing into a reductive view that treats places as just "extended products" or a simple list of easily recognisable or branded values (Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2005; Medway *et al.*, 2015; Turok, 2009). Places are complex assemblages of assets, resources and attractions, subject to multiple interpretations and understandings. Attempting to distil the "essential" qualities of a place will always involve simplifying what that place is about, which will unavoidably exclude alternative or

competing narratives. In addition, the communication of place images is not the sole preserve of the place marketers. Through multiple mainstream and social media channels, people will draw on both direct and vicarious experiences of the place. In the same way, the values attached to a corporate brand promiscuously circulate within a wider culture; the same is true of place, only more so. Nevertheless, questions raised through marketing theory, such as understanding a place's identity and what differentiates one place from another, are important considerations for place managers, together with a need to anticipate change and an understanding of how to adapt (Kotler *et al.*, 1999).

Towns and cities continually experience phases of growth, stagnation and decline. Although place promotion is a long-established practice (Ward, 1998), the structural economic problems arising in the early 1970s through deindustrialisation, sectoral shift and globalisation provoked an outbreak of the reflexive and instrumental local interventions designed to reposition the places in terms of their economic competitiveness, identity or function, (e.g. the case of Town Centre Management in Ireland documented by McAteer and Stephens, 2011). Often, the desired outcome of these strategies is to correct the negative stereotypes and change the image of a place into one attractive to managerial elites, corporate investors, property speculators and international tourists. Collectively, this produced local economic development initiatives designed to re-orientate the struggling places to the external audiences and the decision-makers through incremental product replacement and diversification. Typically, this involved large-scale "flagship" regeneration projects, new attractions, improvements to civic realm and infrastructure (Ashworth and Voogd, 1990), with the desired outcome being to produce "substantial change to the features, benefits and experiences, relative to competitors, or targeting new audiences, or both" (Baker, 2012, p. 56). Faced with the dilemma of *compete-or-die*, the local decision-makers were perhaps left with little option than to pursue these interventions, resulting in the embedding of repositioning in regeneration strategies (Peel and Lloyd, 2008; Gilmore, 2002). Consequently, place marketing and branding have become normalised through the establishment of marketing bureaus and inward investment agencies, together with a burgeoning sector of place-branding professionals. Place branding has also become an academic sub-discipline in its own right, although much of this research focuses on the city, regional and national scale, whereas relatively little is understood about the branding and repositioning of town centres or high streets (Yanchula, 2008). Moreover, the reality is that many smaller towns and centres, unless they possess special historical attractions, are unlikely to appeal to international audiences. As such, we go on to critically evaluate if any insight can be garnered from the repositioning research that might inform the place management practice.

Critical perspective on place repositioning

Initially involving aggressive marketing of places to attract direct investment, or capture international flows of capital or tourism, many towns and cities became embroiled in an unsustainable "spiral of place competition" (Hall and Hubbard, 1996; Harvey, 1989; Lui, 2008; Metaxas, 2009; Sassen, 2002; Turok, 2009). In attempts to understand or analyse these conditions, academics have deployed various terms such as civic boosterism, urban growth machines, urban entrepreneurialism and place marketing and branding (Molotch, 1976; Harvey, 1989; Gibson, 2005). Such accounts reveal a number of critical concerns about place repositioning. Harvey (1989) argues that such strategies have resulted in urban monotony, through replication of the same policy solutions across multiple locations, such as ubiquitous and generic waterfront regeneration schemes, and mega-projects, such as stadiums or airports, together with the construction of a similar mix of new attractions

(conference centres, indoor arenas, covered shopping malls). The involvement of certain “starchitects” and urban planning gurus has resulted in the replication of similar aesthetics and built forms (Ponzini and Nastasi, 2011). For Eisenschitz (2010), this is a zero-sum game, which undermines a place’s distinctiveness or unique selling proposition, and it is conducive to the production of corporatised non-places (Auge, 1995).

Town centres are not immune to such homogenising trends, with the accusation that many places are becoming clone towns (New Economics Foundation, 2004), where one high street provides the same range of retailer offers and brands as the next one. Such outcomes are not surprising, given the propensity of localities to follow the same repositioning “scripts” (Hubbard, 1996), which present simplified commodified messages about places to potential external users; typically decision-makers responsible for inward investment decisions, or mobile consumers and tourists, or latterly the ubiquitous but ephemeral creative classes (Florida, 2017). Unfortunately, the pervasive *compete-or-die* mantra simply fuels a destructive spiral of place competition (Harvey, 1989), exposing the places to the vagaries of globalisation, through intrusions of corporate capital and value-extracting functions, which not only contributes to an undermining of local distinctiveness but also weakens the power of civic institutions to affect local change as they become subject to remote decision-making.

The reconstruction of the built environment and civic realm, again to appeal to a corporate class or reliable consumer, is increasingly entangled with the commodification and regulation of public space, as centres become subject to surveillance and regulatory controls which serve to identify and exclude undesirable others (Minton, 2012). Recent debate concerning the emergence of privately owned public space underlines a growing concern about rights to the city (Mitchell, 2016). By exposing the high street to the logic of neoliberal regeneration, the town centres are now subject to similar critical concerns regarding gentrification and social exclusion (Hubbard, 2017), together with anxiety about new forms of local governance involved in managing the town and city centres. Critical responses to the growth of town centre partnerships and business improvement districts suggest that these actors are extensions of the neoliberal restructuring of the local state, which serves the interests of big business and property interests, rather than representing local people (Ward, 2006).

Consequently, rebranding places typically involves upscaling through transforming downtrodden places into “quality” or “world” destinations (Gibson and Davidson, 2004; Allport, 2005; Skinner, 2011) through services and facilities that will attract lucrative external markets. But as many towns, typically smaller towns or peripheral places, are perhaps now beginning to realise the failure to consolidate local independent business capacity and adjust to meet local needs has resulted in the loss of a vibrant public and street culture on the high street. The mobile classes simply shop elsewhere, often where parking is free, whereas even Richard Florida (2017) brings into question the power of the creative class to drive economic recovery. As Hubbard (2017) argues, high street decline goes beyond retailing and economic development. The footfall that drives shopping also drives local employment, public services and transport. Symbolically, the high street is a meeting place, providing opportunities for socialising and leisure, and remains one of the few places where a local community might come together and produce a shared sense of identity, in ways which are conspicuously absent within the regulated environments of the shopping mall or retail park. In this context, attempts to reposition the high street intersect with social and political concerns and require a “whole place” approach to address multi-functional uses.

Repositioning the high street: from place branding to placemaking

Repositioning the high street involves more than rebranding. The place product has to meet the expectations and desires established through development or reinvention ([Theodoridis et al., 2017](#)). For towns experiencing a decline in footfall and high vacancy rates, solutions extend into the realm of place shaping or placemaking ([Daramola-Martin, 2009](#); [Warnaby and Medway, 2013](#)). At one level, this may involve improvements to public realm through urban design, but placemaking as an approach calls for holistic, collective and participatory solutions to what might be considered as traditional planning problems, which go beyond physical redesign to consider how people actually use places in contradistinction to the top-down strategic approaches ([Project for Public Places, 2009](#)). Placemaking has its roots in [Jacobs' \(1961\)](#) ideas about the value of street life and well-used public realm, which she argued were qualities of urban living under threat as places give up more space for traffic, together with the de-densifying effects of suburbanisation and the planned decentralisation of cities in the post-war period. Instead, Jacobs saw value in dense, compact and walkable neighbourhoods in producing informal and spontaneous social interaction necessary to build community cohesion. These ideas continue to resonate within architectural movements such as the New Urbanism ([Katz et al., 1994](#)) in the 1990s, and more recently, in the rise of subversive and community responses to local problems experienced by residents in their everyday life, broadly falling under the umbrella term “tactical urbanism” ([Lydon and Garcia, 2015](#)). Although often unsanctioned, and sometimes semi-legal, Lyons and Garcia suggest that the growth of tactical placemaking reflects the increasing frustration with formal planning processes and place management, where institutions of local governance appear unresponsive and inflexible. Through the re-iteration of flexible, temporary interventions and events, Lydon and Garcia suggest that communities can produce liveable and attractive places through grassroots action. Lydon and Garcia, however, acknowledge that, in practice, placemaking involves a middle ground between formal and informal action. This means developing an understanding of multiple local needs, together with recognising the value of participatory approaches and engagement with the wide range of interested parties who share a stake in town centres and high streets, to “create a better fit between the town’s competences and the needs and benefits the customer seeks” ([Whyatt, 2004](#), p. 348).

Understanding how people engage with the centres, therefore, is essential to the centre’s success ([Teller et al., 2016](#)) and, therefore, attempts to reposition the high street are needed. For the vast majority of smaller towns, however, including many regional centres, there is a need to question their investment and marketing plans when the focus is on attracting new external audiences to their centres. Successful repositioning in many cases does not necessarily mean replacing existing markets or existing users of a place, but adapting local services to match their needs. This may involve down-scaling or creating better value/experience for existing local users.

Broadly, a strategy for repositioning will involve shifting from external to internal objectives to focus on:

- liveability (repositioning centres as places to live);
- town centre activity (repositioning offer, services and activities, anchors, e.g. markets, multi-functional);
- connectivity (repositioning links, transport accessibility, integration of transport into place, infrastructure); and
- demographic change (repositioning to meet needs of changing catchment – younger families, older generations).

Repositioning, however, is a long-term strategy. The bricks and mortar infrastructure that constitutes the high street environment presents a major challenge to short-term adaptation. Store formats are constantly shifting combined with the changing consumer preferences, which might render major investments quickly obsolete, leaving the town centres with empty shops and failing malls. Placemaking might provide a pathway to overcome this rigid short-termism. Consequently, this paper begins to address these challenges and asks how towns can reposition themselves to be better enabled to identify distinct capabilities and develop competitive advantages and accommodate future trends.

Repositioning in practice

The changing nature of the retail environment and its impact on town centres indicate that all towns need to think about a repositioning approach. Throughout the lifetime of the HSUK2020 project, it became clear that identifying distinct capabilities and developing competitive advantages are two critical components of repositioning. However, the main challenge that all towns were facing was one of effectively understanding and addressing their current situation. Usually, identification of local variations and the problems and challenges associated with the town centre was based on anecdotal evidence. During the early stages of the project, this seemed to be enough for the stakeholders to reach some primary agreement that repositioning was necessary. Such anecdotal data, though not robust enough to inform a strategy, provided rich descriptions and seemed to enable local partnerships to get some initial momentum for a repositioning strategy, which could lead to more purposeful market research (Mintzberg, 1979). A full methodology for the project can be found in Ntounis and Parker's (2017) study.

During workshops, and relying on these soft data, we encouraged the local stakeholders to start determining the town's current situation, based on their views about their town. This exercise can be seen as the start of a place audit, an accurate description of where a town and its community are, and the first step towards strategic decision-making (Kotler *et al.*, 1999). Unavoidably, such a process tends to reflect the complex and multiple identities that people construct for their town. From a strategic management point of view, unstructured processes (Mintzberg *et al.*, 1976) can lead to diverging interpretations of the town and the town centre, and subsequently to diverging opinions regarding which repositioning strategy needs to be implemented. Consequently, stakeholders with different interests, objectives, knowledge and motivationally distinct values (Horlings, 2015; Peel, 2003) need to build a common ground of where the town is and where it needs to go. Especially in towns where little information about their present situation is available, such an approach ensured that the majority of stakeholders have a partial understanding of the town partnership's objectives (Le Feuvre *et al.*, 2016; Mowery and Novak, 2016), are aware of the challenges that their town centres encountered and are willing to work together to alleviate the conflicts that stem from the plurality of understandings and demands from the town centre and the town in general (Brand and Gaffikin, 2007; Hillier, 2003). However, in most cases, these understandings were backed up by some basic data information (e.g. retail sales from a limited number of shops, or unrepresentative surveys that portrayed a snapshot of the situation) that may, or may not, reflect actual performance. Traditional metrics that are based on historical data, such as vacancy rates and footfall, are perceived to be most useful and easiest to collect, but these were not available to all the towns in the project. Henceforth, the towns were encouraged to start working towards collecting these data (Table I) and bring evidence to the table regarding the future planning of their towns.

Addressing place management issues

A direct outcome of our engagement with participants was the assessment of factors that influence a town centre's current position. Here, emphasis was given to the top 25 priorities for changes that were identified during the HSUK2020 project (Parker *et al.*, 2017). These were presented to the participants prior to the dialogue regarding the challenges the town centres face and, as Table II illustrates, these guided people's discussions regarding the areas of improvement in their respective towns. This exercise highlighted that prior to considering repositioning as a potential strategy, improvements in the tangible and material elements of the place product (Warnaby and Medway, 2013) need to be addressed. Yanchula (2008) suggests a relative hierarchy of activities, which first focuses on the operational side of place management that underpins the safety and overall appearance of the place. Getting the basic rights has to be the initial step of all place management interventions (Parker *et al.*, 2015), which then will allow places to build upon and develop other activities, ranging from temporal events and festivals to long-term solutions (beautification and business retention). This level of commitment obviously requires a range of stakeholders working mutually to achieve the holistic change within the centre, a point that was raised by a participant in Ballymena:

"We need to work together towards becoming a destination of choice and tackle the negative attitude from retailers and citizens regarding the town centre [...] Improving customer service, evening economy, and bringing the next generation of entrepreneurs can help towards that goal".

Partnerships can address all these points from the bottom-up, meaning that the actions need to be gradually developed and involve the community. Such was the case in Market Rasen with Market Rasen Business Improvement Group (MR BIG), a now-dissolved community interest company that spearheaded repositioning attempts by focusing their actions on improving the main shopping areas of the town. Among these, the major facelift and tidying up of the high street, and the reinstatement of markets in the heart of the town, aimed to change the perception of both locals and visitors. The goal of MR BIG was to put Market Rasen back on the map, by re-establishing its identity as a market town with participation from local traders and businesses. Their repositioning attempts were reduced to not only the local catchment but also the potential visitors. The geographical position of the town (near the coast) means that there is an opportunity to "make Market Rasen a gateway from which visitors will explore the whole region" (MR BIG, 2013, p. 17), with the market as the main anchor:

"A lot of people drive by Market Rasen, but do not stop, and some of the activities that we are putting into place are giving people a reason to stop, shop, enjoy themselves, have a bite to eat and really experience all the things our town has to offer".

Vital and viable town centres (URBED, PPG6, etc.)

Pedestrian footfall	Vacancy rates
Diversity of uses and change	Cultural and social events
Quality of built environment	Leisure and cultural facilities
Recent and proposed investment	Town centre residential population
Accessibility	Employment in the town centre
Attitudes and perceptions	Street safety
Retailer representation and demand	Sense of place
Commercial yields and retail rents	

Table I.
Traditional metrics
of town centre
performance

Table II.
Repositioning factors
as identified from
HSUK2020 project
partners

Town	Areas of improvement	Link to top 25 priorities
Alsager	Improve connectivity and walkability, better customer experience and retailer representation, create vision for town	Retailers, vision and strategy, experience, walkable, accessible, liveable
Altrincham	Changing opening hours, ensuring the basics are right (such as car parking), make use of redundant retail space, attract key retail names	Activity hours, necessities, attractiveness, anchor stores, adaptability
Ballymena	Developing an evening economy, ensuring the basics are right (such as car parking), better marketing and promotion, building a more modern and positive identity for the town	Activity hours, necessities, networks and partnerships, place marketing, recreational space
Barnsley	Reviewing opening hours, attracting new retailers and development, merchandise and retail/tenant mix, customer experience, building more positive perceptions of the town	Activity hours, merchandise, retailers, diversity, experience, vision and strategy
Bristol (St. George)	Changing opening hours, strengthen the evening economy, improving the connectivity and walkability of the street, attracting more fresh food retail and restaurants	Activity hours, liveable, walkable, diversity, adaptability
Congleton	Signage and car-parking need improvement, reducing barriers to entry to encourage new businesses into the town centre, lack of merchandise and little retail offer	Necessities, barriers to entry, retailers, merchandise
Holmfirth	Signage, lack of office space, lack of engagement with young people, lack of support for the business community	Activity hours, necessities, experience, networks and partnerships
Market Rasen	Changing opening hours, attracting a number of shops in town, more collective action and networking across all stakeholders	Activity hours, networks and partnerships, retailers, merchandise
Morley	Changing opening hours, improving walkability, accessibility and street activity, lack of night-time economy, better marketing and promotion	Activity hours, walkable, place marketing, accessible, liveable
Wrexham	Improving evening economy, more restaurants and cultural events, improving the “walkability” and connectivity of retail in the town, better marketing and promotion, more collective action and collaboration across all stakeholders	Activity hours, walkable, accessible, place marketing, networks and partnerships

Anticipating and managing change: towards multi-functional town centres

A combination or permutation of the 201 factors identified in this project (Parker *et al.*, 2017) alters micro-scale conditions and causes local structural changes in towns and city centres (De Kervenoael *et al.*, 2006). This means that adaptive alignments need to be made to the existing urban form and the structure of the towns, with an emphasis on more permanent and temporary uses other than retail, making many of them multi-functional (Millington *et al.*, 2015), but in contradistinction to forces that propagate their comprehensive redevelopment and masterplanning (Bishop and Williams, 2012).

Subsequently, repositioning attempts were needed to address all the changes that any of our project towns were dealing with. For example, Morley is in the middle of an important demographic change, as young families and a growing young professional population are emerging because of an increase in urban housing developments within the area (ATCM, 2014). This warrants potential change in Morley’s town centre performance, and it poses a big challenge for the Morley Town Centre Management Board on how to capture the needs

of the changing catchment population. Major issues for the Morley town centre are centred around a weak retail mix, lethargic street activity and the lack of night-time economy. The board's current approach focuses on strengthening Morley's image as an independent town with a growing number of independent retailers, service providers, cafes and restaurants (ATCM, 2014).

In terms of retailing and leisure, partnering with the White Rose Shopping Centre has proven to be successful for the promotion of both locations, as the White Rose creates a positive link to Morley according to the town representatives. This collaboration aims to establish a new town profile for Morley as a key destination for shopping, leisure and culture, with a focus on independents, because 89 per cent of all Morley's businesses are independently run (Morley Observer, 2016a). Understandably, White Rose's close proximity to the Morley town centre can prompt people to visit both locations, albeit for different reasons. In this case, complementarity of the retail offer (Teller *et al.*, 2016) is crucial (unique independent shops on the one hand and national multiples coupled with leisure on the other), and the high level of synergy between White Rose and Morley reflects a certain degree of cohesion in their respective action plans, with a common aim to enhance visitation and shopping linkages (Hart *et al.*, 2014; Lambiri *et al.*, 2017). In this case, knowledge exchange and data sharing on catchment profile have been proven essential for both centres' cooperation. This also highlights how effective market research processes can reveal ways in which competitive places can complement than substitute each other (Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2008).

Moreover, new planned developments in town, such as increased parking provision, park and ride schemes, new train services and improvements to Morley railway station (Morley Observer, 2016b; Morley Observer, 2017a), are aiming at eliminating previous connectivity constraints within the town. These can create more routes within and into the centre, meaning greater levels of porosity, particularly in disconnected zones and squares that are underperforming compared to others. Boosting trade and increasing uses in quieter areas are also in Morley's repositioning plans. Also, a new outdoor food market (Morley Observer, 2016a) that offers Yorkshire produce is already bringing vibrancy and improving the less-noticeable town centre image attributes of busyness and crowding (Hart *et al.*, 2014) in the quieter part of the town centre. Finally, the future production of a neighbourhood plan (Yorkshire Evening Post, 2016) and thoughts about achieving Fairtrade town status (Morley Observer, 2017b) further showcase Morley's town council to have some sort of control towards future development while also differentiating their offer because of new trends at the same time. Such strategic actions highlight the intention of the town council to become an effective advocate for sustained transformational change (Yanchula, 2008, p. 95), which, with collaboration with other partnerships, can reposition the town.

Conclusion and recommendations

Although places might begin to consider repositioning triggered by high street decline, it needs to be thought of as a broader and proactive approach underpinning place adaptation and management. This can enable the local stakeholders to understand what is special about their place and what is happening elsewhere and also help them to plan for future changes that are likely to have great impact on centres. Planning for the future also highlights the importance of building evidence to underpin both strategic and operational decision-making, as well as sharing data that will bring benefits to all the stakeholders on the high street. Our work with the ten local centres who participated in the HSUK2020 project reveals the challenges faced by the local stakeholders in clearly identifying and communicating their market position. Poor understanding of a place's identity or how a

place functions, and mismatches between functionality and visions and action plans, is the key challenge to effective repositioning and developing coherent strategies. Such challenges further demonstrate the practicability of the market research approach and the need for thorough market research at the beginning of every place management process (Kavaratzis and Ashworth, 2008; Zenker *et al.*, 2013).

Based on our interactions with the project towns throughout the lifetime of HSUK2020, three recommendations can be made in relation to the design of a repositioning strategy. First, it is essential to complement local knowledge, basic metrics and “soft” data with more comprehensive data collection and data analysis approaches, as well as data sharing between partners. These approaches can include analysis of traditional key performance indicators, such as footfall, retailers’ representation and performance, car parking provision, vacancy rates, property rents, retail and housing investments (Hogg *et al.*, 2007), and these can also transcend towards more sophisticated approaches aiming to evaluate consumers’ perceptions and shopping behaviour (De Nisco and Warnaby, 2013). These approaches will generate metrics for performance and also lay the foundation for mutual understanding that everyone will benefit from the interventions that are effective in increasing footfall in town centres. Second, there is a need for towns to bring together relevant stakeholders with the necessary skills and capacity to effectively self-organise as a decision-making forum. This requires towns to develop organising capacity (van den Berg and Braun, 1999) and fluid local governance networks that will generate new ideas and also reduce barriers to participation, but nevertheless, retain the qualities of leadership and the vision to implement the action plans (Peel and Parker, 2017). Third, and finally, the repositioning strategy needs to deviate from prescriptive models and become more dynamic, evidence-based and aligned with the structural changes in the town centres and retailing. It is evident from the research that shifting consumer shopping behaviours, enhanced mobility, advent of e-commerce and demographic changes are challenging assumptions about how places are functioning. A dynamic repositioning approach can reveal how and when places are actually used, which could lead to their effective repositioning to the right audiences and to the realignment of the town centre action plans.

References

- Allport, D. (2005), “The UK high street: current issues and their implications”, *Journal of Retail & Leisure Property*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 2-16.
- Ashworth, G. and Voogd, H. (1990), *Selling the City: Marketing Approaches in Public Sector Urban Planning*, Belhaven Press, London.
- ATCM (2014), “Morley Town Centre - Morley Case Study”, ATCM, available at: www.atcm.org/townteamuploads/tt_case_study_-_morley (assessed 21 March 2017).
- Auge, M. (1995), *Non-Places: Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity*, Verso, London.
- Baker, B. (2012), *Destination Branding for Small Cities*, 2nd edition, Creative Leap Books, Portland, OR.
- Bell, F. (2016), “Looking beyond place branding: the emergence of place reputation”, *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 247-254.
- Bishop, P. and Williams, L. (2012), *The Temporary City*, Routledge, London.
- Brand, R. and Gaffikin, F. (2007), “Collaborative planning in an uncollaborative world”, *Planning Theory*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 282-313.
- Daramola-Martin, A. (2009), “Liverpool one and the transformation of a city: place branding, marketing and the catalytic effects of regeneration and culture on repositioning liverpool”, *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp. 301-311.

- De Kervenoael, R., Hallsworth, A. and Clarke, I. (2006), "Macro-level change and micro level effects: a twenty-year perspective on changing grocery shopping behaviour in Britain", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 13 No. 6, pp. 381-392.
- De Nisco, A. and Warnaby, G. (2013), "Shopping in downtown: the effect of urban environment on service quality perception and behavioural intentions", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 41 No. 9, pp. 654-670.
- Dennis, C., Murphy, J., Marsland, D., Cockett, T. and Patel, T. (2002), "Measuring image: shopping centre case studies", *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 355-373.
- Dibb, S. and Simkin, L. (1991), "Targeting, segments and positioning", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 4-10.
- Downs, P.E. and Haynes, J.B. (1984), "Examining retail image before and after a repositioning strategy", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 1-24.
- Doyle, P. and Stern, P. (2006), *Marketing Management and Strategy*, 4th edition, Financial Times/Prentice-Hall, Harlow, Essex.
- Eisenschitz, A. (2010), "Place marketing as politics: the limits of neoliberalism", in Go, F. and Govers, R. (Eds), *International Place Branding Yearbook 2010: Place Branding in the New Age of Innovation*, Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, pp. 21-30.
- Florida, R. (2017), *The New Urban Crisis*, Basic Books, New York, NY.
- Gibson, C. and Davidson, D. (2004), "Tamworth, Australia's 'country music capital': place marketing, rurality, and resident reactions", *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 387-404.
- Gibson, T.A. (2005), "Selling city living: Urban branding campaigns, class power and the civic good", *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 259-280.
- Gilmore, F. (2002), "A country—can it be repositioned? Spain—the success story of country branding", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 281-293.
- Govers, R. and Go, F. (2009), *Place Branding: Virtual and Physical Identities, Global, Imagined and Experienced*, Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Hall, T. and Hubbard, P. (1996), "The entrepreneurial city: new urban politics, new urban geographies?", *Progress in Human Geography*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 153-174.
- Hart, C., Stachow, G., Rafiq, M. and Laing, A. (2014), *The Customer Experience of Town Centres*, Loughborough University, Leicestershire.
- Harvey, D. (1989), "From managerialism to entrepreneurialism: the transformation in urban governance in late capitalism", *Geografiska Annaler. Series B, Human Geography*, Vol. 71 No. 1, pp. 3-17.
- Hillier, J. (2003), "Agonizing over consensus: why habermasian ideals cannot be 'real'", *Planning Theory*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 37-59.
- Hogg, S., Medway, D. and Warnaby, G. (2007), "Performance measurement in UK town Centre management schemes and US business improvement districts: comparisons and UK implications", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 39 No. 6, pp. 1513-1528.
- Horlings, L.G. (2015), "Values in place: a value-oriented approach toward sustainable place-shaping", *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 257-274.
- Hubbard, P. (1996), "Urban design and city regeneration: social representations of entrepreneurial landscapes", *Urban Studies*, Vol. 33 No. 8, pp. 1441-1462.
- Hubbard, P. (2017), *The Battle for the High Street: Retail Gentrification, Class and Disgust*, Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke.
- Jacobs, J. (1961), *The Death and Life of American Cities*, Random House, New York.
- Katz, P., Scully, V.C. and Bressi, T.W. (1994), *The New Urbanism: Toward an Architecture of Community*, McGraw-Hill, New York.

- Kavaratzis, M. and Ashworth, G. (2005), "City branding: an effective assertion of identity or a transitory marketing trick?", *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie*, Vol. 96 No. 5, pp. 506-514.
- Kavaratzis, M. and Ashworth, G. (2008), "Place marketing: how did we get here and where are we going?", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 150-165.
- Kotler, P., Asplund, C., Rein, I. and Haider, D.H. (1999), *Marketing Places Europe*, Financial Times: Prentice Hall, New York, NY.
- Kuss, J. (2009), "Community reputation communicates change to the world", in Klewes, J. and Wreschniok, R. (Eds), *Reputational Capital: Building and Maintaining Trust in the 21st Century*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 263-272.
- Lambiri, D., Faggian, A. and Wrigley, N. (2017), "Linked-trip effects of 'town-centre-first' era foodstore development: an assessment using difference-in-differences", *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 160-179.
- Le Feuvre, M., Medway, D., Warnaby, G., Ward, K. and Goatman, A. (2016), "Understanding stakeholder interactions in urban partnerships", *Cities*, Vol. 52, pp. 55-65.
- Lui, T. (2008), "City-branding without content: Hong Kong's aborted west kowloon mega-project, 1998-2006", *International Development Planning Review*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 215-226.
- Lydon, M. and Garcia, A. (2015), *Tactical Urbanism: Short-Term Action for Long-Term Change*, Island Press, Washington.
- McAteer, B. and Stephens, S. (2011), "Town centre management: a solution to the challenges facing Urban Centres in Ireland?", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 264-271.
- Martínez, N.M. (2016), "Towards a network place branding through multiple stakeholders and based on cultural identities: the case of 'The Coffee Cultural Landscape' in Colombia", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 73-90.
- Medway, D., Swanson, K., Neirotti, L.D., Pasquinelli, C. and Zenker, S. (2015), "Place branding: are we wasting our time? Report of an AMA special session", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 63-68.
- Metaxas, T. (2009), "Place marketing, strategic planning and competitiveness: the case of Malta", *European Planning Studies*, Vol. 17 No. 9, pp. 1357-1378.
- Millington, S., Ntounis, N., Parker, C. and Quin, S. (2015), *Multifunctional Centres: A Sustainable Role for Town and City Centres*, Institute of Place Management, Manchester.
- Minton, A. (2012), *Ground Control: Fear and Happiness in the Twenty-First-Century City*, Penguin, London.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979), "An emerging strategy of direct research", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 582-589.
- Mintzberg, H., Raisinghani, D. and Theoret, A. (1976), "The structure of 'unstructured' decision processes", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 246-275.
- Mitchell, D. (2016), "The liberalization of free speech: or, how protest in public space is silenced", in Nicholls, W., Miller, B. and Beaumont, J. (Eds), *Spaces of Contention: Spatialities and Social Movements*, Routledge, London, pp. 47-68.
- Molotch, H. (1976), "The city as a growth machine: toward a political economy of place", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 82 No. 2, pp. 309-332.
- Morley Observer (2016a), "New outdoor market arrives in town to boost trade", 6 October, available at: www.morleyobserver.co.uk/news/new-outdoor-market-arrives-in-town-to-boost-trade-1-8168090 (assessed 20 March 2017).
- Morley Observer (2016b), "Plan for more parking in Morley Town Centre", 20 April, available at: www.morleyobserver.co.uk/news/plan-for-more-parking-in-morley-town-centre-1-7864068 (assessed 20 March 2017).

- Morley Observer (2017a), "Station deal struck: express service to call at Morley and improvements for commuters", 1 February, available at: www.morleyobserver.co.uk/news/station-deal-struck-express-service-to-call-at-morley-and-improvements-for-commuters-1-8363466 (assessed 20 March 2017).
- Morley Observer (2017b), "Is Morley to become a Fairtrade Town?" 2 March, available at: www.morleyobserver.co.uk/news/is-morley-to-become-a-fairtrade-town-1-8417317 (assessed 20 March 2017).
- Mowery, K. and Novak, M. (2016), "Challenges, motivations, and desires of downtown revitalizers", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 9-26.
- MR BIG (2013), "Review and Strategy Year 2", available at: http://marketrasenguide.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/2569_MR_SINGLES.pdf (assessed 10 March 2017).
- Neill, W.J.V. (1995), "Lipstick on the gorilla? Conflict management, urban development and image making in Belfast", in Neill, W.J.V., Fitzsimmons, D. and Murtagh, B. (Eds), *Reimagining the Pariah City: Urban Development in Belfast and Detroit*, Ashgate, Aldershot, pp. 50-76.
- New Economics Foundation (2004), *Clone Town Britain: The Loss of Identity on the Nation's High Streets*, New Economics Foundation, London.
- Ntounis, N. and Kavaratzis, M. (2017), "Re-branding the high street: the place branding process and reflections from three UK towns", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 386-397.
- Ntounis, N. and Parker, C. (2017), "Engaged scholarship on the high street: the case of HSUK2020", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 344-358.
- Paddison, R. (1999), "Decoding decentralisation: the marketing of urban local power?", *Urban Studies*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 107-119.
- Parker, C., Roper, S. and Medway, D. (2015), "Back to basics in the marketing of place: the impact of litter upon place attitudes", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 31 Nos 9/10, 1090-1112.
- Parker, C., Ntounis, N., Millington, S., Quin, S. and Castillo-Villar, F. (2017), "Improving the vitality and viability of the UK High Street by 2020: identifying priorities and a framework for action", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 310-343.
- Peel, D. (2003), "Town centre management: multi-stakeholder evaluation. Increasing the sensitivity of paradigm choice", *Planning Theory & Practice*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 147-164.
- Peel, D. and Lloyd, G. (2008), "New communicative challenges: dundee, place branding and the reconstruction of a city image", *Town Planning Review*, Vol. 79 No. 5, pp. 507-532.
- Peel, D. and Parker, C. (2017), "Planning and governance issues in the restructuring of the high street", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 398-412.
- Ponzini, D. and Nastasi, M. (2011), *Starchitecture*, Allemandi, Venice.
- Project for Public Places (2009), "What is Placemaking?", 31 December, available at: www.pps.org/reference/what_is_placemaking/ (assessed 26 July 2017).
- Ries, A. and Trout, J. (1981), *Positioning: The Battle for Your Mind*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Sassen, S. (2002), "Locating cities on global circuits", *Environment and Urbanization*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 13-30.
- Skinner, H. (2011), "In search of the genius loci: the essence of a place brand", *The Marketing Review*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 281-292.
- Smith, M.K. (2004), "Seeing a new side to seashores: culturally regenerating the english seaside town", *International Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 17-28.
- Tadajewski, M. and Jones, B. (2014), "Historical research in marketing theory and practice: a review essay", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 30 Nos 11/12, pp. 1239-1291.
- Teller, C., Wood, S. and Floh, A. (2016), "Adaptive resilience and the competition between retail and service agglomeration formats: an international perspective", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 32 Nos 17/18, pp. 1537-1561.

- Theodoridis, C., Ntounis, N. and Pal, J. (2017), "How to reinvent the high-streets: evidence from the HSUK2020", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 375-385.
- Thomas, C.J., Bromley, R.D.F. and Tallon, A.R. (2004), "Retail parks revisited: a growing competitive threat to traditional shopping centres?", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 647-666.
- Turok, I. (2009), "The distinctive city: pitfalls in the pursuit of differential advantage", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 13-30.
- van den Berg, L. and Braun, E. (1999), "Urban competitiveness, marketing and the need for organising capacity", *Urban Studies*, Vol. 36 Nos 5/6, pp. 987-999.
- Vanolo, A. (2008), "The image of the creative city: some reflections on urban branding in turin", *Cities*, Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 370-382.
- Ward, K. (2006), "Policies in motion', urban management and state restructuring: the trans-local expansion of business improvement districts", *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 54-75.
- Ward, S.V. (1998), *Selling Places: The Marketing and Promotion of Towns and Cities, 1850-2000*, E & FN Spon, London.
- Warnaby, G., Bennison, D. and Davies, B. (2005), "Marketing communications in planned shopping centres: evidence from the UK", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 33 No. 12, pp. 893-904.
- Warnaby, G. and Medway, D. (2013), "What about the 'place' in place marketing?" *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 345-363.
- Weltevreden, J.W.J. and Atzema, O.A.L.C. (2006), "Cyberspace meets high street: adoption of click-and-mortar strategies by retail outlets in city centers", *Urban Geography*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 628-650, doi: [10.2747/0272-3638.27.7.628](https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3638.27.7.628).
- Whyatt, G. (2004), "Town centre management: how theory informs a strategic approach", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 346-353.
- Wrigley, N. and Dolega, L. (2011), "Resilience, fragility, and adaptation: new evidence on the performance of UK high streets during global economic crisis and its policy implications", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 43 No. 10, pp. 2337-2363.
- Yanchula, J. (2008), "Finding one's place in the place management spectrum", *Journal of Place Management and Development*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 92-99.
- Yorkshire Evening Post (2016), "Blueprint for future development of Morley", 3 October, available at: www.yorkshireeveningpost.co.uk/news/blueprint-for-future-development-of-morley-1-8160323 (assessed 20 March 2017).
- Zenker, S., Eggers, F. and Farsky, M. (2013), "Putting a price tag on cities: insights into the competitive environment of places", *Cities*, Vol. 30, pp. 133-139.

Further reading

- Biddulph, M. (2011), "Urban design, regeneration and the entrepreneurial city", *Progress in Planning*, Vol. 76 No. 2, pp. 63-103.
- Clarke, I., Bennison, D. and Pal, J. (1997), "Towards a contemporary perspective of retail location", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 59-69.
- Clarke, I., Hallsworth, A., Jackson, P., de Kervenoael, R., del Aguila, R.P. and Kirkup, M. (2006), "Retail restructuring and consumer choice 1: long-term local changes in consumer behaviour: Portsmouth, 1980-2002", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 25-46.
- Dawson, J.A. (1988), "Futures for the high street", *The Geographical Journal*, Vol. 154 No. 1, p. 1.
- De Magalhães, C. (2012), "Business improvement districts and the recession: implications for public realm governance and management in England", *Progress in Planning*, Vol. 77 No. 4, pp. 143-177.

- Deloitte (2014), *The Smartphone Generation Gap: Over-55s? There's No App for That*, Deloitte, London.
- Fernie, J. (1998), "The breaking of the fourth wave: recent out-of-town retail developments in Britain", *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 303-317.
- Graham, M. (2008), "Warped geographies of development: the internet and theories of economic development", *Geography Compass*, Vol. 2 No. 3, pp. 771-789.
- Grimsey, B. (2013), "The Grimsey review: an alternative future for the high street", London, available at: www.vanishinghighstreet.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/GrimseyReview04.092.pdf
- Guy, C. (2006), *Planning for Retail Development: A Critical View of the British Experience*, Routledge, London.
- Holloway, L. and Hubbard, P. (2001), *People and Place: The Extraordinary Geographies of Everyday Life*, Pearson Education, Harlow.
- Hughes, C. and Jackson, C. (2015), "Death of the high street: identification, prevention, reinvention", *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 236-255.
- KPMG (2013), "How will demographic trends affect the retail sector?" 27 August, available at: www.kpmg.com/uk/en/issuesandinsights/articlespublications/newsreleases/pages/how-will-demographic-trends-in-the-uk-affect-the-retail-sector.aspx (assessed 31 March 2017).
- Otsuka, N. and Reeve, A. (2007), "Town centre management and regeneration: the experience in four english cities", *Journal of Urban Design*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 435-459.
- Sager, T. (2011), "Neo-liberal urban planning policies: a literature survey 1990-2010", *Progress in Planning*, Vol. 76 No. 4, pp. 147-199.
- Schiller, R. (1986), "Retail decentralisation: the coming of the third wave", *The Planner*, Vol. 72 No. 7, pp. 13-15.
- Thomas, C., Bromley, R. and Tallon, A. (2006), "New 'high streets' in the suburbs? the growing competitive impact of evolving retail parks", *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 43-68.
- Verhoef, P.C., Kannan, P.K. and Inman, J.J. (2015), "From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 91 No. 2, pp. 174-181.
- Vias, A.C. (2004), "Bigger stores, more stores, or no stores: paths of retail restructuring in rural america", *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 303-318.
- Weltevreden, J.W.J. and Rietbergen, T.V. (2007), "E-shopping versus city centre shopping: the role of perceived city centre attractiveness", *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie*, Vol. 98 No. 1, pp. 68-85.
- Wrigley, N. and Lambiri, D. (2015), *British High Streets: From Crisis to Recovery? A Comprehensive View of the Evidence*, University of Southampton, Southampton.
- Wrigley, N., Branson, J., Murdock, A. and Clarke, G. (2009), "Extending the competition commission's findings on entry and exit of small stores in British high streets: implications for competition and planning policy", *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 41 No. 9, pp. 2063-2085.

Corresponding author

Nikos Ntounis can be contacted at: n.ntounis@mmu.ac.uk

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com